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#### Abstract

. $p$-groups may be classified by splitting the groups up into classes having the same commutator relations (isoclinism classes) and then determining the nonisomorphic groups in each class. This paper reduces the problem of determining the isomorphism classes to that of finding the equivalence classes of a set of matrices under some equivalence relation. A computer is used to find the equivalence classes for the first few values of $p$, and these are then used as a guide for finding the solution for general $p$.


1. Introduction. Occasionally the solution of a research problem in algebra gives rise to large amounts of straightforward calculations of either a numerical or nonnumerical nature. Sometimes the amount of calculation is so large as to preclude the solution of the problem. In such situations it is natural to consider the use of a computer and it is often surprising how much can be accomplished with the expenditure of relatively little programming effort. Such a computation, arising out of the problem of classifying the groups of order $p^{6}(2<p)$, is described here.

The groups of order $p^{n}(n \leqq 5)$ have been known for some time. These may all be found in a paper of Schreier [6] in which he determined the groups of order $p^{5}$ for the first time. Recently a catalogue of the groups of order $2^{n}$ ( $n \leqq 6$ ) has been published by Hall and Senior [3]. The usual method of classifying the groups of order $p^{n}$ is to divide them into mutually exclusive families [isoclinism families] and to determine all the isomorphism classes of groups in each family separately. Easterfield [2] has found the isoclinism families of groups of order $p^{6}(2<p)$ and written down the groups for 10 of the 43 isoclinism families. James [5] has redetermined the isoclinism families for $p^{6}(2<p)$, written down the groups for 42 of the 43 families and found an expression for the number of groups of order $p^{6}(2<p)$.

This paper describes how, for $p$-groups, isomorphism classes of groups may be computed for each isoclinism family. We first show how the isomorphism classes of groups for each isoclinism family may be characterised by an equivalence relation on a set of matrices. The equivalence relation corresponding to each isoclinism family is found and a computer is used to calculate the equivalence classes for the first few primes $p$. Using these results as a guide one then attempts to write down equivalence class representatives for general $p$. Given an equivalence class representative one can immediately write down a set of generators and relations for the corresponding isomorphism class of groups.
2. Determination of the Equivalence Relations. Two groups $G, G^{\prime}$ with centres $Z, Z^{\prime}$ and derived groups $H_{2}, H_{2}{ }^{\prime}$, respectively, are said to be isoclinic [4] if the following three properties hold:
(a) $G / Z \cong G^{\prime} / Z^{\prime}$;

Received March 5, 1968, revised August 12, 1968.
(b) $H_{2} \cong H^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$;
(c) for $\alpha, \beta \in G$ and $\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime} \in G^{\prime}$, there exist isomorphisms (a), (b) such that, if $\alpha^{\prime} Z^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime} Z^{\prime}$ correspond to $\alpha Z, \beta Z$ in (a) then the commutator ( $\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}$ ) corresponds to the commutator $(\alpha, \beta)$ in (b).

As we are not concerned here with the determination of isoclinism families, we shall not say anything further about it. The interested reader is referred to the paper by P. Hall [4]. Easterfield [2] has determined all the isoclinism families which contain groups of order $p^{6}(2<p)$.

For a given isoclinism family, the method of finding the isomorphism classes is essentially the same as that used by Blackburn [1] in determining $p$-groups of maximal class. We now give details of the method. Let $G, G^{\prime}$ (with centres $Z, Z^{\prime}$ respectively) be two isoclinic $p$-groups. By (a), (b) and (c) we may suppose without loss of generality that $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ have the same relations modulo their centres and the same commutator relations. Thus, writing $\xi$ for the $m$-tuple ( $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \cdots, \xi_{m}$ ) and $w(\xi)$ for a word in $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \cdots, \xi_{m}$, we may write

$$
G=\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{m}, Z\right\rangle \quad \text { for } \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{m} \in G
$$

and

$$
G^{\prime}=\left\langle\alpha_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \alpha_{2}{ }^{\prime}, \cdots, \alpha_{m}{ }^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right\rangle \quad \text { for } \alpha_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \alpha_{2}{ }^{\prime}, \cdots, \alpha_{m}{ }^{\prime} \in G^{\prime}
$$

where $w(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \equiv 1(\bmod Z)$ if and only if $w\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\prime}\right) \equiv 1\left(\bmod Z^{\prime}\right)$ and $w^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})=1$ if and only if $w^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)=1$ for all commutator words $w^{\prime}$.

If $G \cong G^{\prime}$ and $\theta$ is an isomorphism mapping $G^{\prime}$ onto $G$, then $Z \cong Z^{\prime}$ and we may write

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z & =\left\langle\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \cdots, \gamma_{n}\right\rangle \quad \text { for } \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \cdots, \gamma_{n} \in Z \\
Z^{\prime} & =\left\langle\gamma_{1}{ }^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}, \cdots, \gamma_{n}^{\prime}\right\rangle \text { for } \gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{2}^{\prime}, \cdots, \gamma_{n}^{\prime} \in Z^{\prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the order of $\gamma_{j}$ equals the order of $\gamma_{j}{ }^{\prime}$ and each $\gamma_{j}$ commutes with every element of $G$ and each $\gamma_{j}{ }^{\prime}$ with every element of $G^{\prime}$. Also, since $G \cong G^{\prime}, \gamma_{j}{ }^{p^{j} j}=w_{0}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$


The only relations for $G$ which have not been determined are those of the form

$$
w(\boldsymbol{\alpha})=\gamma_{1}{ }^{a_{1}} \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{2}{ }^{a_{2}} \cdots \gamma_{n}{ }^{a_{n}}
$$

which we write more briefly $w(\boldsymbol{\alpha})=\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mathbf{a}}$. Since $G / H_{2}$ is abelian, this reduces to equations of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i}^{p^{\boldsymbol{r}_{i}}}=w_{1}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mathbf{a}^{i}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w_{1}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \in H_{2}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mathbf{a} i} \in Z$ and $i=1,2 \cdots m$.
For convenience, we may suppose that no term of $w_{1}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ is an element of $H_{2} \cap Z$. Since $G / Z \cong G^{\prime} / Z^{\prime}$, we have (where $\mathbf{a}_{1}{ }^{*}, \mathbf{a}_{2}{ }^{*}, \cdots, \mathbf{a}_{m}{ }^{*}$ are the parameters of $G^{\prime}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i}^{\prime p^{r_{i}}}=w_{1}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\prime}\right) \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mathbf{a}^{i^{*}}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\theta: G^{\prime} \rightarrow G$ is an isomorphism, we may write

$$
G=\left\langle\alpha_{1}^{*}, \alpha_{2}{ }^{*}, \cdots, \alpha_{m}^{*}, Z\right\rangle, \quad Z=\left\langle\gamma_{1}^{*}, \gamma_{2}^{*}, \cdots, \gamma_{n}^{*}\right\rangle
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i}{ }^{*}=\theta\left(\alpha_{i}{ }^{\prime}\right) \equiv \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\mathbf{x}_{i}} \quad\left(\bmod H_{2} Z\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where $i=1,2 \cdots m$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{j}^{*}=\theta\left(\gamma_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mathrm{y}}{ }_{j} \quad(j=1,2, \cdots, n) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\gamma_{j}{ }^{*}$ commutes with every element of $G$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{j}^{p^{j_{s}}}=w_{0}(\boldsymbol{\alpha}), \quad \gamma_{j}^{* p^{j_{s}}}=w_{0}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{*}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (1) and (4), together with the relations $\bmod Z$, the commutator relations and the structure of the abelian group $Z$ are the defining relations for the group $G$.

Using (2) and (3), Eq. (4) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mathbf{y} j}\right)^{\boldsymbol{p}^{s j}}=w_{0}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\mathbf{x}_{1}}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\mathbf{x}_{2}} \cdots \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\mathbf{x}_{m}}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

giving a restriction on $\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{m}, \quad \mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \cdots, \mathrm{y}_{n}$.
Also under the isomorphism $\theta$, (1)' becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i}^{* p^{r_{i}}}=w_{1}\left(\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{*}\right) \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{*^{\mathbf{a}^{*}}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{i} *^{p^{r} i} \equiv \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{p^{r} i_{\mathbf{x}}}\left(\bmod H_{2}\right) \\
& \equiv \alpha_{1}{ }^{p^{r} i_{x_{i 1}}} \cdots \alpha_{i}{ }^{p^{r} i_{x i i}} \cdots \alpha_{n}{ }^{p^{r_{i x}}{ }^{2}}\left(\bmod H_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(where $x_{i j}$ is the $j$ th component of the vector $\mathbf{x}_{i}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \equiv \alpha_{1}^{p^{r} i_{x_{i i}}} \cdots \gamma^{x_{i i} \mathbf{a}_{i}} \cdots \alpha_{n}^{p^{r} i_{i_{i n}}}\left(\bmod H_{2}\right) \\
& \equiv \boldsymbol{\gamma}^{f\left(\mathbf{a}_{i}\right)}\left(\bmod H_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some function $f\left(\mathbf{a}_{i}\right)$, since $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\mathbf{a}_{i}}$ does not occur as any $\alpha_{j}{ }^{p^{r}{ }_{i x}}{ }_{i j}(j \neq i)$ and the term involving $H_{2}$ in (2) is independent of $\mathbf{a}_{i}$.

Similarly, using (3) we obtain

$$
\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{*^{\mathbf{a} i^{*}}}=\gamma^{g\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}{ }^{*}, \mathbf{a}_{2}{ }^{*}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{n}{ }^{*}\right)}
$$

for some function $g$. Thus, by comparing indices of each $\gamma_{j}$ on the left- and righthand sides of (1)* we obtain a set of equations expressing the $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{*}$ 's in terms of the a's with restrictions on the variables $\mathbf{x}_{1}, \mathbf{x}_{2}, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{m}, \quad \mathbf{y}_{1}, \mathbf{y}_{2}, \cdots, \mathbf{y}_{n}$ given by (5). It may be verified that this system of equations actually defines an equivalence relation on the parameters $\mathbf{a}_{i}$ and so on the set of matrices $A=\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}, \cdots, \mathbf{a}_{m}\right)$.

Thus the isomorphism classes of an isoclinism family may be considered to correspond to equivalence classes of such matrices. For $p$-groups, the calculation of the isomorphism classes is simplified as the matrices are usually over $G F(p)$. However, the case of nonregular $p$-groups must be treated separately from the case of regular $p$-groups because of complications in calculating the $\left(\alpha_{i}{ }^{*}\right)^{p}$.
3. Programming. For each isoclinism family of the groups of order $p^{n}$, such an equivalence relation is derived. One then requires a representative from each equivalence class of matrices for general $p$. If the solution is not obvious, a computer is used to calculate the equivalence classes for the first few values of $p$. Using the
equivalence class representatives found by the computer for the first few values of $p$, it is usually (but not always) a simple matter to write down equivalence class representatives for general $p$.

The matrices $A$ generally consist of all $m \times n$ matrices over $G F(p)$ and may easily be generated in some order. As each new $A$ is generated, all its distinct transforms under the equivalence relation are found and placed in a stack $S_{1}$. In this stack the matrix coefficients are packed several to a word. This set of matrices is the equivalence class containing $A$ and so an equivalence class representative is chosen and printed. Now the matrices in $S_{1}$ are transferred to a stack $S_{2}$ containing all matrices which have occurred in any equivalence class already found. Now the whole process is repeated with the first matrix $A$ that does not occur in $S_{2}$. If the computation runs out of store it tries to obtain more by deleting from $S_{2}$ all matrices coming before the present $A$. For some isoclinism families, the number of $A$ 's to be considered is reduced because of certain conditions the $A$ 's most satisfy.

Using a 32 K English Electric $\mathrm{KDF}_{9}$ it was found possible to calculate the equivalence classes for the primes up to and including 23 in a reasonable time.
4. An Example. We now give an example to illustrate how the equivalence relation for a particular isoclinism family is found. We shall consider one of the families of groups of order $p^{5}$. For this particular family, the groups are nonregular for $p=3$ and regular for $p>3$. So the case $p=3$ must be treated separately from the case $p>3$. Only the case $p=3$ will be treated here.

This family will now be defined for $p=3$. The groups $G$ of order $3^{5}$ in this family are generated by two elements $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ satisfying the following conditions:

If $(a, b)=a^{-1} b^{-1} a b$ is the commutator of $a$ and $b$, we have

$$
\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)=\beta, \quad\left(\beta, \alpha_{1}\right)=\beta_{1} \in Z(G), \quad\left(\beta, \alpha_{2}\right)=\beta_{2} \in Z(G)
$$

and

$$
\beta^{3}=\beta_{1}{ }^{3}=\beta_{2}{ }^{3}=1, \quad \alpha_{1}{ }^{3} \in Z(G), \quad \alpha_{2}{ }^{3} \in Z(G)
$$

where $Z(G)=\left\langle\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}\right\rangle$ (i.e. $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ generate $Z(G)$ ).
(This family is the family $\Phi_{6}$ in P. Hall [4].)
To determine the remaining relations, write $\alpha_{i}{ }^{3}=\boldsymbol{\beta}^{\mathbf{a}}{ }^{i}, \alpha_{i}{ }^{* 3}=\boldsymbol{\beta}^{* a^{*}}{ }^{*}$, where

$$
\alpha_{1}^{*} \equiv \alpha_{1}^{x_{1}} \alpha_{2}^{x_{2}} \beta^{x}(\bmod Z), \quad \alpha_{2}^{*} \equiv \alpha_{1}^{y_{1}} \alpha_{2}^{y_{2}} \beta^{y}(\bmod Z)
$$

and so $\beta^{*} \equiv \beta^{\Delta} \quad(\bmod Z)$, where $\Delta=x_{1} y_{2}-x_{2} y_{1} \neq 0 \quad(\bmod p)$. Also $\beta_{1}{ }^{*}=\beta^{\Delta \mathrm{x}}, \quad \beta_{2}{ }^{*}=$ $\mathfrak{g}^{\Delta y}$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{1}{ }^{*_{11}{ }^{*}} \beta_{2} *^{* a_{12}{ }^{*}} & =\alpha_{1}{ }^{* 3} \\
& \left.=\left(\alpha_{1}{ }^{x_{1}} \alpha_{2}\right)^{x_{2}}\right)^{3} \\
& =\alpha_{1}{ }^{3 x_{1}}{\alpha_{2}}^{3 x_{2}} \beta_{1}{ }^{-x_{1} x_{2} x_{2}}{\beta_{2}}^{x_{1} x_{2}{ }^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Equating indices of $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta\left(x_{1} a_{11}^{*}+y_{1} a_{12}^{*}\right)=x_{1} a_{11}+x_{2} a_{21}-x_{1}^{2} x_{2}, \\
& \Delta\left(x_{2} a_{11}^{*}+y_{2} a_{12}^{*}\right)=x_{1} a_{12}+x_{2} a_{22}+x_{1} x_{2}{ }^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta\left(x_{1} a_{21}^{*}+y_{1} a_{22}^{*}\right)=y_{1} a_{11}+y_{2} a_{21}-y_{1}^{2} y_{2} \\
& \Delta\left(x_{2} a_{21}^{*}+y_{2} a_{22}^{*}\right)=y_{1} a_{12}+y_{2} a_{22}+y_{1} y_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Writing

$$
A=\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}, \mathbf{a}_{2}\right), \quad A^{*}=\left(\mathbf{a}_{1}^{*}, \mathbf{a}_{2}^{*}\right), \quad X=(\mathbf{x}, \mathrm{y})
$$

we have

$$
\Delta X A^{*}=A X+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-x_{1}{ }^{2} x_{2} & -y_{1}{ }^{2} y_{2} \\
x_{1} x_{2}{ }^{2} & y_{1} y_{2}{ }^{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{*}=\Delta\left(X^{-1} A X+X^{\prime}\right) \cdots \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the required equivalence relation, where

$$
X^{\prime}=\Delta\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-x_{1} x_{2}\left(x_{1} y_{2}+x_{2} y_{1}\right) & y_{1}^{2} y_{2} \\
-x_{1}{ }^{2} x_{2} & y_{1} y_{2}\left(x_{1} y_{2}+x_{2} y_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

Here $A$ ranges over all $2 \times 2$ matrices over $G F(3)$ and $X$ over all $2 \times 2$ nonsingular matrices over $G F(3)$. The computer is now used to find the equivalence classes of the matrices $A$ under the equivalence relation (6), and it prints the following equivalence class representatives:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 2 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Thus there are seven isomorphism classes of groups of order $3^{5}$ in this family and we may suppose the remaining relations are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}^{3}=1, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{2}, 1 . \\
& {\alpha_{2}}^{3}=1,1, \beta_{2}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{1}{ }^{2}, \beta_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

5. Discussion. Using similar methods for each isoclinism class, the groups of order $p^{5}(p>2)$, as given by Schreier [6], were checked and the nonisomorphic groups of order $p^{6}(p>2)$ found for 42 of the 43 isoclinism classes for $p^{6}$. For the remaining class the nonisomorphic groups have been found by the computer for the primes up to and including 23 . The number of groups in this class for general $p$ was derived using the computer results for the early primes as a guide.

The number of nonabelian groups of order $3^{6}$ is 481 , and the number of order $p^{6}(p>3)$ is given by the following expression:

$$
\frac{1}{4}\left\{13 p^{2}+133 p+1346+80(p-1,3)+45(p-1,4)+8(p-1,5)+8(p-1,6)\right\},
$$

where $(l, m)$ denotes the greatest common divisor of $l$ and $m$. The details of these results may be found in [5].
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